

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/707,482	11/07/2000	Dimitri Kanevsky	YOR9-2000-0244-US1	9757
7590 11/03/2003			e EXAMINER	
Daniel P Morris Esq			ROBINSON, GRETA LEE	
IBM Thomas J Watson Research Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 218			2177	
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			/ DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

——————————————————————————————————————	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/707,482	KANEVSKY ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Greta L. Robinson	2177	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applica 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ig date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	I of extension and the corresponding amoust the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final (opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CI	•		
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	š .
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :		
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 4-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem		(X) 1/1	~
10.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		GRETA ROBINSO PRIMARY EXAMIN	
		Greta Robinson Primary Examiner October 31, 2003	





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitation "formed of light-image-responsive material" would require further search...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment changes the scope of the claim and would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: The submission of formal drawings by facsimile have not been entered because of 37 CFR 1.6(d)(4). However, applicant may submit by facsimile transmission proposed drawing corrections for approval by the office. The Examiner notes that the changes made by Applicant are acceptable, but because the are submitted by facsimile as formal drawings they are not entered..